1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Janet Lindner Spielberg (SBN 221926) LAW OFFICES OF JANET LINDNER SPIELBERG 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, #400 Los Angeles, California 90025 Tel: (310) 392-8801 Fax: (310) 278-5938 Email: jlspielberg@jlslp.com Michael D. Braun (SBN 167416) BRAUN LAW GROUP, P.C. 10680 West Pico Boulevard, Suite 280 Los Angeles, California 90064 Tel: (310) 836-6000 Fax: (310) 836-6010 Email: service@braunlawgroup.com ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF	Joseph N. Kravec, Jr. (admitted pro hac vice) Wyatt A. Lison (admitted pro hac vice) FEINSTEIN DOYLE PAYNE & KRAVEC LLC Allegheny Building, 17th Floor 429 Forbes Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Tel: (412) 281-8400 Fax: (412) 281-1007 Email:jkravec@fdpklaw.com wlison@fdpklaw.com	
12	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
13	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
15			
16	KIMBERLY S. SETHAVANISH, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,	CASE NO.: 3:12-CV-02907 CLASS ACTION	
17 18	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING	
19 20	ZONEPERFECT NUTRITION COMPANY, a Delaware corporation,	SUPPLEMENTAL SUBMISSION AND EXTENDED DEADLINES FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION BRIEFING AND	
21	Defendant.	HEARING IT IS SO ORDERED AS MODIFIED	
22		IT IS SO ORDERED AS MODIFIED	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING SUPPLEMENTAL SUBMISSION AND EXTENDED DEADLINES FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION BRIEFING AND HEARING; Case No.: 3:12-cv-02907

WHEREAS, on April 26, 2012, Plaintiff filed a Complaint in the above-captioned case in the Sonoma County Superior Court of California.

WHEREAS, on June 5, 2012, Defendant removed the case to this Court (Dkt. No. 1).

WHEREAS, on December 28, 2012, the Court granted in-part and denied in-part Defendant's motion to dismiss (Dkt. No. 38).

WHEREAS, on January 25, 2013, the Court held a status conference and set the following schedule for class certification that provided the parties time between opening, response, and reply briefs to take discovery of any experts who submitted reports in conjunction with class certification briefing:

- Plaintiff's Motion to Certify Class to be filed July 2, 2013;
- Defendant's Opposition due by August 21, 2013;
- Plaintiff's Reply due by October 10, 2013;
- Motion Hearing Date November 8, 2013 at 10:00 a.m. (Dkt. No. 46).

WHEREAS, on March 27, 2013, the Supreme Court of the United States issued a ruling in *Comcast Corporation, et al. v. Behrend, et al.*, 133 S. Ct. 1426, 1434, 185 L. Ed. 2d 515, 523, 57 CR 1487 (2013), holding that on class certification, any proposed method for calculating damages submitted as part of class certification must provide a methodology that identifies damages that are the result of the alleged wrong.

WHEREAS, on July 2, 2013, Plaintiff filed her motion for class certification but did not submit any expert report for the purpose of showing that restitution could be calculated on a classwide basis. (Dkt. No. 65).

WHEREAS, subsequent to the Supreme Court's holding in *Comcast*, courts ruling on motions for class certification have held in those cases that plaintiffs must submit a report from an expert to show that the purported class-wide damages are linked to the plaintiff's theory of liability.

WHEREAS, the Parties have conferred about other courts' interpretation of *Comcast* and have agree that Plaintiff may provide a supplemental submission including an extra five (5) page brief and expert report(s) on the issue of class-wide damages in this action.

Case 3:12-cv-02907-SC Document 71 Filed 07/31/13 Page 3 of 4

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	

WHEREAS, the Parties agree to extend the class certification briefing schedule and page limits to account for the supplemental submission on damages, any necessary rebuttal report(s), replies and any allowed sur-replies regarding the issue of class certification on the following schedule:

- Plaintiff to submit any supplemental brief up to five (5) pages and any expert disclosures and report(s) on damages for the purpose of class certification, due by August 14, 2013;
- Defendant to submit any responsive brief not to exceed thirty (30) pages, along with any expert disclosures and report(s) submitted for the purpose of class certification, due by September 27, 2013;
- Plaintiff to submit any reply brief not to exceed twenty (20) pages, along with any rebuttal expert report(s) to Defendant's expert report(s) submitted for the purpose of class certification, due by November 8, 2013;
- Defendant to submit any sur-reply not to exceed fifteen (15) pages limited to the issues raised in any rebuttal expert report(s) or other new matter in the reply submitted by Plaintiff, due by December 20, 2013;
- Motion Hearing Date January 17, 2014 at 10:00 a.m.

14

15

16

17

18

19

13

WHEREAS, there have been no modifications to the class certification submission schedule. However, the Court has modified other scheduling matters as follows: On June 25, 2012, the Court extended the deadlines for briefing on Defendant's motion to dismiss (Dkt. No. 13) and on November 8, 2012, the clerk rescheduled a Case Management Conference for November 16, 2012 to January 25, 2013 pending the outcome of Defendant's motion to dismiss. (Dkt. No. 36).

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED that Plaintiff shall file supplemental brief not to exceed five (5) pages and any expert disclosures and report(s) for the purpose of class certification by August 14, 2013; Defendant shall file any opposition to Plaintiff's motion for class certification not to exceed thirty (30) pages along with any expert disclosures and report(s) by September 27, 2013; Plaintiff shall file any reply brief regarding class certification not to exceed twenty (20) pages and any rebuttal expert report(s) to Defendant's expert report(s) by November 8, 2013; Defendant shall file any sur-reply not to exceed fifteen (15) pages limited to the issues raised in any rebuttal expert report or other new matter in the reply filed by Plaintiff by December 20,

28

Case 3:12-cv-02907-SC Document 71 Filed 07/31/13 Page 4 of 4

1	2013. If Plaintiff does not file any rebuttal expert reports along with her reply, Defendant will not be		
2	permitted to file a sur-reply without further leave of court.		
3	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
4	DATED: July31, 2013	FEINSTEIN DOYLE PAYNE & KRAVEC, LLC	
5		By: s/Joseph N. Kravec, Jr. Joseph N. Kravec, Jr. (pro hac vice)	
7		Attorneys for Plaintiff	
8		KIMBÉRLY S. SETHAVANISH	
9 10	DATED: July 31, 2013	By: s/Jonathan D. Brightbill <i>via</i> email consent Jonathan D. Brightbill (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Elizabeth L. Deeley	
11		Gregg F. LoCascio, P.C. (pro hac vice) Michael J. Podberesky (pro hac vice)	
12 13		Attorneys for Defendant ZONEPERFECT NUTRITION COMPANY	
14			
15 16	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
17 18 19	DATE:July 31_, 2013	The Hone United Ita	
20		PRINT OF CE	
21 22		OTRIC	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			